Exhibit B

TRIAL DAY 19, AUGUST 24, 2018 PAGE 5: 2787945 WEIRICK, et al. vs. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., et al.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT NE-P HON. MARGARET L. OLDENDORF, JUDGE CAROLYN WEIRICK, ET AL.,) CASE NO. BC656425 PLAINTIFFS, VS. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., ET AL., Certified Transcript DEFENDANTS.

> TRIAL DAY 19 - P.M. SESSION REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS AUGUST 24, 2018

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER

BY: JAY STUEMKE, ESQ.

1201 ELM STREET, SUITE 3400

DALLAS, TEXAS 75270

SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER

BY: JORDAN BLUMENFELD-JAMES, ESQ. 3780 KILROY AIRPORT WAY, SUITE 540

LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90806

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)

REPORTED BY: DEBORAH MORIN, CSR NO. 11558

OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE

Page 2921

| - | |
|----|---|
| 1 | Q AND YOU SELECTED HIM? |
| 2 | A YES, SIR, I DID. |
| 3 | Q AND HE USED PLM? |
| 4 | A THAT'S WHY I HIRED HIM TO DO THAT, TO USE PLM |
| 5 | AND XRD. SO I WOULD HOPE HE WOULD DO THAT BECAUSE THAT |
| 6 | WAS THE INSTRUCTIONS, AND HE DID DO IT. |
| 7 | Q AND HE DIDN'T FIND ANY ASBESTOS IN |
| 8 | MS. WEIRICK'S SAMPLE; CORRECT? |
| 9 | A NOT USING THE $R-93$ EPA METHOD OR THE XRD, NO. |
| 10 | THAT'S THE PROBLEM WITH IT, OF COURSE. |
| 11 | Q AND IT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT YOU |
| 12 | MOTION TO STRIKE AFTER "NO." |
| 13 | THE COURT: OVERRULED. |
| 14 | BY MR. PARKER: |
| 15 | Q ISN'T IT TRUE WELL, LET ME JUST SAY THIS. |
| 16 | IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT IF YOU USE THE PLM |
| 17 | METHOD, YOU CANNOT FIND IN MS. WEIRICK'S SAMPLE ANY |
| 18 | AMPHIBOLES AT ALL? |
| 19 | A THE $R-93$ METHOD YOU WILL NOT FIND IT, NO. |
| 20 | Q ISN'T IT TRUE, SIR, THAT THE REASON YOU DID |
| 21 | NOT WANT TO USE THE PLM METHOD IS YOUR RELIANCE ON |
| 22 | BLOUNT DESPITE DR. MILLETTE'S ARTICLE OF LEE POYE'S USE |
| 23 | BECAUSE YOU KNEW YOU WERE NOT GOING TO FIND ASBESTOS IN |
| 24 | MS. WEIRICK'S BOTTLE? |
| 25 | A NO. WE DIDN'T KNOW ANYTHING UNTIL WE DID THE |
| 26 | TEM ANALYSIS. AFTER WE SAW THE TEM ANALYSIS, WE KNOW |
| 27 | THOSE CONCENTRATIONS. THE PLM METHOD IS NOT APPROPRIATE |
| 28 | TO DO AN EVALUATION FOR THESE TYPES OF PRODUCTS. |

TRIAL DAY 19, AUGUST 24, 2018 Page D: 27947 WEIRICK, et al. vs. BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., et al.

| 1 | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
|----|---|
| 2 | FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES |
| 3 | DEPARTMENT NE-P HON. MARGARET L. OLDENDORF, JUDGE |
| 4 | |
| 5 | CAROLYN WEIRICK, ET AL.,) |
| 6 |) CASE NO. BC656425 PLAINTIFFS,) |
| 7 | VS. |
| 8 | BRENNTAG NORTH AMERICA, INC., ET) |
| 9 | AL.,) |
| 10 | DEFENDANTS.) |
| 11 | ·································· |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | I, DEBORAH MORIN, CSR NO. 11558, OFFICIAL REPORTER |
| 15 | PRO TEMPORE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, |
| 16 | FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE |
| 17 | FOREGOING PAGES, 2861 THROUGH 2967, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE AND |
| 18 | CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND TESTIMONY TAKEN IN |
| 19 | THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON AUGUST 24, 2018. |
| 20 | DATED THIS 25TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2018. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Deborah Morin |
| 23 | , CSR NO. 11558 DEBORAH MORIN, OFFICIAL REPORTER |
| 24 | DIBORIN, OFFICIAL REFORTER |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |